1	BEFORE THE
2	ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION
3	REGULAR OPEN MEETING
4	(PUBLIC UTILITY)
5	Chicago, Illinois
6	Tuesday, April 9, 2013
7	
8	Met, pursuant to notice, at 10:30 a.m.
9	in the Main Hearing Room, 160 North LaSalle Street,
10	Chicago, Illinois.
11	
12	PRESENT:
13	MR. DOUGLAS P. SCOTT, Chairman
14	MR. JOHN T. COLGAN, Commissioner (by phone)
15	MS. ANN McCABE, Commissioner
16	MR. MIGUEL del VALLE, Acting Commissioner
17	MS. SHERINA E. MAYE, Acting Commissioner
18	
19	L.A. COURT REPORTERS by
20	Kari Wiedenhaupt, Reporter
21	CSR# 084-004725

- 1 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Is everything ready in
- 2 Springfield?
- JUDGE WALLACE: Yes, Chairman. We are good to
- 4 go here.
- 5 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: All right. Good. Thanks.
- Pursuant to the provisions of the Open Meetings Act I
- 7 now convene a Regular Open Meeting of the Illinois
- 8 Commerce Commission. With me in Chicago are
- 9 Commissioner McCabe, Acting Commissioner delValle and
- 10 Acting Commissioner Maye. We have -- I am Chairman
- 11 Scott. We have a quorum.
- We need to allow -- because we can't
- see Commissioner Colgan, we are going to vote to
- 14 allow him to participate by phone.
- So I would move that we allow
- 16 Commissioner Colgan to participate by phone. Is
- there a second?
- 18 COMMISSIONER MCCABE: Second.
- 19 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: All in favor, say aye.
- (Chorus of ayes.)
- 21 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Any opposed?

- 1 (No response.)
- 2 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: The vote is four to nothing.
- 3 Commissioner Colgan can now join us by phone.
- 4 Welcome, Commissioner.
- 5 COMMISSIONER COLGAN: Thank you.
- 6 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Before moving into the agenda,
- according to Section 1700.10 of Title 2 of the
- 8 Administrative Code, this is the time we allow
- 9 members of the public to address the Commission.
- 10 Members of the public wishing to address the
- 11 Commission must notify the Chief Clerk's Office at
- least 24 hours prior to the Commission meetings.
- 13 According to the Chief Clerk's Office, we had one
- request to speak at today's meeting; however, no
- reguests have been granted.
- Moving on to the agenda for today.
- 17 Item 1 concerns initiating a proceeding to
- investigate ComEd's progress in implementing its
- 19 Advanced Metering Infrastructure Deployment Plan,
- pursuant to Section 16-108.6(e) of the Public
- Utilities Act. Staff recommends entry of an Order
- initiating the proceeding.

```
1 Is there any discussion?
```

- 2 (No response.)
- 3 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Is there a motion to enter the
- 4 Order?
- 5 COMMISSIONER MCCABE: So moved.
- 6 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Is there a second?
- ACTING COMMISSIONER DEL VALLE: Second.
- 8 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: It's been moved and seconded.
- ⁹ All in favor, say aye.
- 10 (Chorus of ayes.)
- 11 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Any opposed?
- 12 (No response.)
- 13 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: The vote is five to nothing,
- and the Order is entered. We will use this five to
- nothing vote for the remainder of today's Regular
- Open Meeting unless otherwise noted.
- 17 Item 2 is Docket No. 12-0499. This is
- HIKO Energy's application for certification as an
- 19 alternative retail electric supplier. This matter is
- on rehearing before the Commission, and ALJ VonQualen
- recommends entry of an Order on Rehearing granting
- the requested certificate subject to conditions

- 1 recommended by Staff. There are some revisions to be
- ² proposed.
- 3 Commissioner Colgan?
- 4 COMMISSIONER COLGAN: Thank you, Chairman. In
- 5 conjunction with you and Commissioner McCabe, I am
- 6 proposing amendments to the Commission Conclusion
- ⁷ section of the HIKO Order on Rehearing. Our joint
- 8 edits change the Commission's decision from approving
- 9 to denying HIKO's request to expand its ARES
- certificate to allow it to offer the sale of
- electricity and power to eligible residential and
- nonresidential customers in the entire state of
- 13 Illinois.
- 14 As you will recall, the Commission
- denied HIKO's request to amend its certificate
- without prejudice in the initial phase of this docket
- in October of 2012. And at that time the Commission
- was concerned about the number of complaints HIKO had
- 19 received from customers in New York and in ComEd's
- service territory in Illinois. The Commission
- subsequently granted rehearing, which we are deciding
- here today.

- While our edits acknowledge the very
- ² recent customer service improvements implemented by
- 3 HIKO, we continue to be concerned about complaints
- 4 about HIKO's improper marketing, HIKO's failure to
- 5 access all sales records for review when there are
- 6 allegations of improper marketing and HIKO's ability
- ⁷ to identify issues in its third-party verification
- 8 process.
- 9 In order to address these substantial
- concerns, the Commission maintains that HIKO should
- 11 not only continue the customer service improvements
- it has recently implemented, but also comply with the
- conditions recommended by Staff and agreed to by HIKO
- and incorporated into its marketing, training and
- quality assurance program. I believe it is incumbent
- upon the Commission and its consumer services
- division to make sure that these improvements are
- consistently and continuously implemented.
- In denying HIKO's amended certificate
- without prejudice, we are allowing HIKO to repetition
- the Commission at a future time. However, HIKO's
- re-filing cannot occur sooner than six months from

- today, and must contain an additional six months of
- 2 complaint data from both the ComEd service territory
- in Illinois and the other states in which it operates
- 4 and an update regarding its implementation of its
- 5 training and quality assurance program.
- This will provide the Commission with
- ⁷ the opportunity to determine the effectiveness of
- 8 HIKO's recent customer service improvements and
- 9 conditions agreed to in this docket based on data
- 10 accumulated over a more substantial timeframe.
- 11 Illinois has established a legal framework designed
- to incent a competitive marketplace for electricity.
- 13 In ensuring that this objective is met, however, the
- 14 Commission must ensure that consumers are adequately
- protected from potential bad actors.
- I believe that denying HIKO's request
- to expand its ARES certificate without prejudice is
- the appropriate manner in which to meet the objective
- of fostering a competitive marketplace while at the
- same time protecting consumers. And I would also
- like to thank Judge VonQualen for her efforts in this
- docket and thank Staff for its thoughtful suggestions

- in dealing with these important issues.
- With that, fellow Commissioners, we
- ³ request your support for these edits, and I move that
- 4 they be approved.
- 5 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: The revisions have been moved.
- 6 Is there a second?
- 7 COMMISSIONER MCCABE: Second.
- 8 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: It's been moved and seconded.
- ⁹ Any further discussion on the revisions themselves?
- 10 (No response.)
- 11 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: All in favor of the revisions,
- say aye.
- 13 (Chorus of ayes.)
- 14 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Any opposed?
- 15 (No response.)
- 16 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: The vote is five to nothing,
- and the revisions are adopted.
- 18 Is there any further discussion on
- 19 this matter?
- 20 (No response.)
- 21 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: I will then move for the
- adoption of the Order as revised.

```
1
                   Is there a second?
 2
          COMMISSIONER COLGAN:
                                 Second.
 3
          CHAIRMAN SCOTT: It's been moved and seconded.
 4
     Any further discussion?
 5
                           (No response.)
 6
          CHAIRMAN SCOTT: All in favor, say aye.
 7
                           (Chorus of ayes.)
 8
          CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Any opposed?
 9
                           (No response.)
10
          CHAIRMAN SCOTT: The vote is five to nothing,
11
     and the Order as revised is adopted. Thank you,
12
     Commissioner Colgan and Commissioner McCabe for your
13
     work on those revisions. Thank you very much.
14
                   Item 3 is Docket No. 12-0620. This is
15
     Bonnie and Mike Nichols' complaint against ComEd.
16
     The parties have apparently settled their differences
17
     and have brought a Joint Motion to Dismiss, which ALJ
18
     Kimbrel recommends we grant.
19
                   Is there any discussion?
20
                           (No response.)
21
          CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Is there any objections?
22
                           (No response.)
```

- 1 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Hearing none, the Joint Motion
- ² to Dismiss is granted.
- Items 4 and 5 can be taken together.
- 4 These items concern applications for licensure as an
- 5 agent, broker and consultant under Section 16-115C of
- the Public Utilities Act. In each case, the ALJ
- ⁷ recommends entry of an Order granting the
- 8 certificate.
- 9 Is there any discussion?
- 10 (No response.)
- 11 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Are there any objections?
- 12 (No response.)
- 13 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Hearing none, the Orders are
- 14 entered.
- 15 Item 6 is Docket No. 13-0197. This is
- 16 Caterpillar's application for a certificate to
- operate as an alternative retail electric supplier in
- 18 Illinois, pursuant to Section 16-115 of the Public
- Utilities Act. ALJ VonQualen recommends entry of an
- Order granting the requested certificate.
- Is there any discussion?
- 22 (No response.)

- 1 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Are there any objections?
- 2 (No response.)
- 3 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Hearing none, the Order is
- 4 entered.
- Item 7 is Docket No. 13-0154. This is
- 6 Best Power's Motion to Withdraw its amended
- ⁷ application for licensure as an agent, broker and
- 8 consultant under Section 16-115C of the Public
- 9 Utilities Act. ALJ Kimbrel recommends we grant the
- 10 company's motion.
- 11 Is there any discussion?
- 12 (No response.)
- 13 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Are there any objections?
- 14 (No response.)
- 15 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Hearing none, the Motion is
- granted.
- 17 Item 8 is Docket No. 13-0241. This is
- Constellation NewEnergy's petition for emergency
- relief requesting the confidential and/or proprietary
- treatment of its 2012 Net Metering Report. ALJ
- VonQualen recommends entry of an Order granting the
- requested treatment.

- 1 Is there any discussion?
- 2 (No response.)
- 3 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Are there any objections?
- 4 (No response.)
- 5 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Hearing none, the Order is
- 6 entered.
- 7 Item 9 is Docket No. 11-0762. This is
- 8 Mt. Carmel's reconciliation of revenues collected
- 9 under its gas adjustment charges with actual costs
- prudently incurred. ALJ Jones recommends entry of an
- Order approving the reconciliation.
- 12 Is there any discussion?
- 13 (No response.)
- 14 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Any objections?
- 15 (No response.)
- 16 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Hearing none, the Order is
- 17 entered.
- 18 Item 10 is Docket No. 12-0506. This
- is Nicor's petition for approval of their Rider 26
- 20 Reconciliation Statement. ALJ Jorgenson recommends
- entry of an Order approving the reconciliation.
- Is there any discussion?

```
(No response.)

CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Any objections?

(No response.)

CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Hearing none, the Order is entered.
```

- Item 11 is Docket No. 12-0594. This
- is Glorietta Jones' complaint against Peoples Gas.
- 8 The parties have apparently settled their differences
- 9 and have brought a Joint Motion to Dismiss, which ALJ
- 10 Kimbrel recommends we grant.
- 11 Is there any discussion?
- 12 (No response.)
- 13 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Any objections?
- 14 (No response.)
- 15 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Hearing none, the Joint Motion
- to Dismiss is granted.
- 17 Items 12 and 13 can be taken together.
- 18 These items are complaints against Consolidated
- 19 Communications regarding telephone service at Logan
- 20 Correctional Center. In each case, ALJ VonQualen
- recommends entry of an Order dismissing the complaint
- with prejudice.

- 1 Is there any discussion?
- 2 (No response.)
- 3 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: I had a couple comments to
- 4 make on these cases. First of all, I think -- I am
- ⁵ going to support the Orders in both cases, but -- and
- 6 while I understand that the contractural issue
- 7 controls here -- I think that's very clear -- and I
- 8 also understand that the complainants may not be the
- 9 most sympathetic of all the complainants that come
- before the Commission, but I do have to say that I
- was troubled by the response of the company in these
- cases and not only setting up behind the legal
- argument -- that's their right to do that. I
- understand that, but on the substantive issue of
- whether or not the service actually works, they
- basically say that it's not their fault. It's the
- DOC's or the individual prison's fault, and anyway,
- the prisoners have no rights here because they are
- not paying for the calls, which that legally may be
- true, but in terms of service providing is a fairly
- 21 cold answer.
- With any service we have a

- 1 responsibility to see that the service being paid for
- is being delivered, and it's clear here at least from
- the record in these two cases that it isn't in all
- 4 cases. If this were a water case and every time
- 5 someone turned on a faucet at Logan Correction Center
- and some sort of green slime came out instead of
- ⁷ water, that would also be an issue for us. It's no
- less of an issue because the service provided here is
- ⁹ a phone service.
- I think it's incumbent on us as a
- 11 Commission to continue to monitor this. I would like
- our Staff to work with the DOC and the provider on
- this and other issues that are raised through these
- and a later case and let us know the progress. I
- think it's in everyone's interest in a high pressure
- environment like a correctional facility that
- services work when they are supposed to, and while
- legally the company is correct here, their responses
- left me wanting a little bit more from them in terms
- of being a service provider.
- Further discussion? Commissioner
- delValle?

- ACTING COMMISSIONER DEL VALLE: Mr. Chairman, I
- want to go on record here as supporting your well
- thought out statement. While inmates may not garner
- ⁴ a lot of sympathy, the fact of the matter is that
- they are calling family members in many instances and
- friends and loved ones. Those individuals are
- directly affected by the lack of adequate services,
- and so I would like to be directly involved in
- 9 working with Staff to look at the situation so that
- we don't have more complaints coming before the ICC.
- 11 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Thank you, sir. Further
- 12 comments?
- 13 (No response.)
- 14 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Are there any objections to
- entering the Orders?
- 16 (No response.)
- 17 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Hearing none, the Orders are
- entered.
- 19 Item 14 is Docket No. 12-0413. This
- is Consolidated Communications' petition seeking a
- declaratory ruling concerning Section 13-901 of the
- Public Utilities Act, Title 83, Part 770 of the

- ¹ Administrative Code.
- 2 ALJ VonQualen recommends entry of an
- Order providing a declaratory ruling that the inmate
- 4 calling services at issue are operator services under
- 5 the law.
- Is there any discussion?
- 7 (No response.)
- 8 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: I just want to say that I am
- going to support the Order. I know it's gotten a lot
- of attention. I want to congratulate Judge VonQualen
- 11 for her work. I think the Order is very well done.
- 12 It's narrowly drawn and very well-reasoned, and I
- appreciate your work on that, and I am going to
- support the Order.
- ¹⁵ Further discussion?
- 16 (No response.)
- 17 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Are there any objections to
- the Order?
- 19 (No response.)
- CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Hearing none, the Order is
- entered.
- 22 Item 15 is Docket No. 12-0679. This

- is Frontier Communications' petition to cancel and
- ² reissue certificates of service authority previously
- granted to reflect a change in its corporate form.
- ⁴ ALJ Baker recommends entry of an Order granting the
- ⁵ requested relief.
- Is there any discussion?
- 7 (No response.)
- 8 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Any objections?
- 9 (No response.)
- 10 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Hearing none, the Order is
- 11 entered.
- 12 Item 16 is Docket No. 13-0126. This
- is a joint petition for the approval of an amendment
- 14 to an interconnection agreement between Cellular
- Properties and Illinois Consolidated Telephone. ALJ
- Baker recommends entry of an Order approving the
- amendment.
- 18 Is there any discussion?
- 19 (No response.)
- 20 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Any objections?
- 21 (No response.)
- CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Hearing none, the Order is

- 1 entered.
- Items 17 through 19 can be taken
- ³ together. These are petitions for the confidential
- 4 and/or proprietary treatment of the petitioner's
- 5 annual report. In each case, the ALJ recommends
- 6 entry of an Order granting the requested protective
- ⁷ treatment.
- Is there any discussion?
- 9 (No response.)
- 10 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Any objections?
- 11 (No response.)
- 12 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Hearing none, the Orders are
- entered.
- 14 Item 20 is Docket No. 10-0366. This
- is our management audit of Illinois-American Water
- 16 Company. ALJ Jones recommends entry of an Order
- concluding the instant proceeding as requested by the
- parties, subject to the continuing applicability of
- provisions set forth in this matter's initiating
- order.
- Is there any discussion? Commissioner
- McCabe.

- 1 COMMISSIONER MCCABE: The Commission ordered
- this audit in Docket No. 09-0319 due to concerns
- 3 with --
- 4 COMMISSIONER COLGAN: Chairman, I don't think
- 5 her microphone is on.
- 6 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Thank you.
- 7 COMMISSIONER MCCABE: Thank you.
- 8 The Commission ordered this audit on
- 9 Docket No. 09-0319 due to concerns with
- 10 Illinois-American Service Company fees. Commission
- 11 Staff hired Northstar Consulting to perform the
- management audit, which was completed on
- ¹³ January 11th, 2012.
- In Illinois-American's next rate case,
- 11-0767, the Commission declined to order an
- investigation of affiliated interest issues largely
- because of this ongoing docket. Audits are
- time-consuming and expensive. As we close out this
- docket, the record includes a 176-page audit report
- 20 by Northstar Consulting detailing 84 review results.
- Looking at one audit finding, competitive outsourcing
- could save an estimated 1.5 to 3.5 million annually,

- which would more than cover the cost of the audit.
- 2 So I look forward to continuing to look at these
- issues in future proceedings. Thank you.
- 4 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Thank you, Commissioner.
- 5 Further comments?
- 6 (No response.)
- 7 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Are there any objections to
- 8 the Order?
- 9 (No response.)
- 10 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Hearing none, the Order is
- 11 entered.
- 12 Item 21 is Docket No. 13-0235. This
- is a petition by the Illinois Department of
- 14 Transportation seeking eminent domain authority to
- acquire certain property owned by Aqua Illinois in
- 16 Kankakee County. ALJ Hilliard recommends we enter an
- Order granting the petition.
- 18 Is there any discussion?
- 19 (No response.)
- 20 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Any objections? Hearing none,
- the Order is entered.
- Judge Wallace, are there any other

- matters to come before the Commission today?
- MR. FEIPEL: Mr. Chairman, if I may quickly,
- this is Feipel in Springfield. I wanted to note that
- on Items 12 and 13, the DOC ISTC issue, Staff will
- 5 absolutely follow-up and Commissioner delValle, we'll
- 6 make sure you are included. Thanks.
- 7 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Thank you, Mr. Feipel.
- Judge Wallace?
- JUDGE WALLACE: That's all there is.
- 10 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Okay. Thank you, sir. I
- apologize for having to shuffle between rooms. That
- was my fault today, and it wasn't just because I
- thought we all needed to run laps or anything and so
- 14 I apologize for that. If there is nothing else
- coming before the Commission today, this meeting
- stands adjourned. Thanks, everyone.
- 17 (END OF PROCEEDINGS.)

18

19

20

21

22